

Trumpington Meadows Community Governance Review

Purpose

1. To update Civic Affairs Committee with regard to progress so far as regards the potential changes to parish boundaries at Haslingfield and Grantchester, in order to create a new parish for the development at Trumpington Meadows.

Recommendations

2. It is recommended that the Committee notes progress is in line with the June 2013 Civic Affairs Report.

Reasons for Recommendations

3. Further to the Committee's Report of 5 December 2013, Officers are now informally consulting on the proposed boundary changes between Haslingfield and Grantchester.

Background

4. In June 2013 Committee agreed that a Community Governance Review should be undertaken to consider the future governance arrangements for the new community at Trumpington Meadows. Officers then began informal discussions with interested parties, including Haslingfield and Grantchester Parish Councils.

Considerations

5. Officers have attended the Southern Fringe Community Forum with displays and information relating to the proposed boundary changes; a formal letter has now been received from Trumpington Residents' Association (see attached). Officers are attending a public meeting with Haslingfield Parish Council and local residents on 16th April to consult on the boundary changes and the Parish Council's proposed traffic calming measures. Cambridge City Council Officers and Members have been consulted although no response has been received as yet. Officers will be visiting neighbouring parish councils to explain the boundary changes in May and June. Details of the proposals and where to find more information will also be included in the Welcome Packs that are distributed to new residents as they move in to Trumpington Meadows.

The name of the new parish is also one of the responsibilities of this Committee as part of the Community Governance Review and suggestions for names will be included in the informal consultations that are currently taking place.

Action	When	Who
Public Meeting at Haslingfield Parish Council and local residents	16/04/2014	TM & JP
Public Meeting at Grantchester Parish Council and local residents	May 2014	TM & JP

Informal consultation meetings with Harston and Hauxton Parish Councils to inform of changes.	June 2014	TM & JP
Update Report to Civic Affairs Committee	Next meeting	ТМ

Implications

There are no significant implications.

Financial

6. If a CGR is to be undertaken there will be associated costs of publicity and consultation which need to be met by the Council. It is not possible to quantify those costs at this stage.

Legal

7.

Compliance with the 2007 Act and associated guidance will help avoid challenge to the process of the outcome.

Staffing

8. It is expected that a CGR can be carried out within existing staff resources in New Communities and Legal.

Risk Management

9. There are no significant implications.

Equality and Diversity

10. There are no significant implications.

Climate Change

11. There are no significant implications.

Consultation responses (including from the Youth Council)

12. The Youth Council is keen to see new governance arrangements set up for Trumpington Meadows because it will be an urban extension so feel more part of the City than a rural District.

Background Papers

Where <u>the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information)</u> (England) Regulations 2012 require documents to be open to inspection by members of the public, they must be available for inspection: -

- (a) at all reasonable hours at the offices of South Cambridgeshire District Council;
- (b) on the Council's website; and
- (c) in the case of documents to be available for inspection pursuant to regulation 15, on payment of a reasonable fee required by the Council by the person seeking to inspect the documents at the offices of South Cambridgeshire District Council.

Report Author: Tracy Mann - Development Officer Telephone: (01954) 713342